

1. Let

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Express  $\mathbf{R}$  as  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{\Omega}\mathbf{Q}^H$ , where  $\mathbf{\Omega}$  is diagonal.

*Solution:*

$$\begin{vmatrix} 2 - \lambda & -2 \\ -2 & 5 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = \lambda^2 - 7\lambda + 6 = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_1 = 6, \lambda_2 = 1$$

Then solving  $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{q}_i = \lambda_i\mathbf{q}_i$  gives  $\mathbf{q}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}[1, -2]^T$  and  $\mathbf{q}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}[2, 1]^T$ . Thus  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{\Omega}\mathbf{Q}^H$  where

$$\mathbf{Q} = [\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{\Omega} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

2. The two-dimensional covariance matrix can be expressed as:

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \rho\sigma_1\sigma_2 \\ \rho^*\sigma_1\sigma_2 & \sigma_2^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Find the simplest expression for the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{C}$ .
- Specialize the results to the case  $\sigma^2 = \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$ .
- What are the eigenvectors in the special case (b) when  $\rho$  is real?

*Solution:*

(a)

$$\begin{vmatrix} \sigma_1^2 - \lambda & \rho\sigma_1\sigma_2 \\ \rho^*\sigma_1\sigma_2 & \sigma_2^2 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = \lambda^2 - (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)\lambda + (1 - |\rho|^2)\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) \pm \sqrt{\sigma_1^4 + \sigma_2^4 - 2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2 + 4|\rho|^2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2}}{2}$$

(b) For  $\sigma^2 = \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$

$$\lambda = \frac{2\sigma^2 \pm \sqrt{4|\rho|^2\sigma^4}}{2} = (1 \pm |\rho|)\sigma^2$$

3. Let

$$x[n] = Ae^{j\omega_0 n}$$

where the complex amplitude  $A$  is a RV with random magnitude and phase

$$A = |A|e^{j\phi}.$$

Show that a sufficient condition for the random process to be stationary is that the amplitude and phase are independent and that the phase is uniformly distributed over  $[-\pi, \pi]$ .

*Solution:* First note  $E\{x[n]\} = E\{A\}e^{j\omega_0 n}$  and

$$E\{A\} = E\{|A|\}E\{e^{j\phi}\} = 0$$

by independence and uniform distribution of  $\phi$ . Thus it has a fixed mean. Next note

$$E\{x[n]x^*[n-k]\} = E\{|A|^2\}e^{j\omega_0 k}$$

which is strictly a function of  $k \Rightarrow$  WSS.

4. Let  $X_i$  be i.i.d. RVs uniformly distributed on  $[0, 1]$  and define

$$Y = \sum_{i=1}^{20} X_i.$$

Utilize Tchebycheff's inequality to determine a bound for  $Pr\{8 < Y < 12\}$ .

*Solution:* Note  $\eta_x = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\sigma_x^2 = \frac{1}{12}$ . Thus  $\eta_y = 10$  and  $\sigma_y^2 = \frac{20}{12} = \frac{5}{3}$ . Utilize Tchebycheff's inequality

$$Pr\{|Y - \eta_y| \geq 2\} \leq \left(\frac{\sigma_y}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{5}{12} \quad \Rightarrow \quad Pr\{8 < Y < 12\} \geq 1 - \frac{5}{12} = \frac{7}{12}$$

5. Let  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 2\sigma^2)$  and  $Y \sim \mathcal{N}(1, \sigma^2)$  be independent RVs. Also, define  $Z = XY$ . Find the Bays estimate of  $X$  from observation  $Z$ :

(a) Using the squared error criteria.

(b) Using the absolute error criteria.

6. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be independent RVs characterized by  $f_X(x) = ae^{-ax}U(x)$  and  $f_Y(y) = ae^{-ay}U(y)$ . Also, define  $Z = XY$ . Find the Bays estimate of  $X$  from observation  $Z$  using the uniform cost function.

*Solution:*

$$F_{z|x}(z|x) = Pr(xy \leq z|x) = Pr(y \leq z/x) = F_y(z/x) \quad \Rightarrow \quad f_{z|x}(z|x) = \frac{1}{x}f_y(z/x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x} &= \arg \max f_{z|x}(z|x)f_x(x) = \arg \max \frac{1}{x}f_y(z/x)f_x(x) \\ &= \arg \max \frac{1}{x}ae^{-az/x}ae^{-ax}U(x)U(z) = \arg \max a^2x^{-1}e^{-a(zx^{-1}+x)}U(x)U(z) \\ \Rightarrow 0 &= -a^2x^{-2}e^{-a(zx^{-1}+x)} + (a^2x^{-1}e^{-a(zx^{-1}+x)})(-a(1-zx^{-2})) \\ 0 &= -x^{-1} - a(1-zx^{-2}) \Rightarrow ax^2 + x - z = 0 \\ \Rightarrow \hat{x} &= \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{1+4az}}{2a} \end{aligned}$$

7. Random processes  $x[n]$  and  $y[n]$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} x[n] &= v_1[n] + 3v_2[n-1] \\ y[n] &= v_2[n+1] + 3v_2[n-1] \end{aligned}$$

where  $v_1[n]$  and  $v_2[n]$  are independent white noise processes, each with variance 0.5.

- (a) Determine the autocorrelation functions of  $x$  and  $y$ . Are the processes WSS?  
 (b) Determine the cross-correlation functions between  $x$  and  $y$ . Are the processes jointly WSS?

*Solution:*

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} r_{xx}(n, n-k) &= E\{(v_1[n] + 3v_2[n-1])(v_1[n-k] + 3v_2[n-1-k])^*\} \\ &= \sigma^2\delta(k) + 9\sigma^2\delta(k) = 5\delta(k) \\ r_{yy}(n, n-k) &= E\{(v_2[n+1] + 3v_2[n-1])(v_2[n+1-k] + 3v_2[n-1-k])^*\} \\ &= \sigma^2\delta(k) + 9\sigma^2\delta(k) + 3\sigma^2\delta(k-2) + 3\sigma^2\delta(k+2) \\ &= 5\delta(k) + 1.5\delta(k+2) + 1.5\delta(k-2) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $x$  and  $y$  are WSS.

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} r_{xy}(n, n-k) &= E\{(v_1[n] + 3v_2[n-1])(v_2[n+1-k] + 3v_2[n-1-k])^*\} \\ &= 9\sigma^2\delta(k) + 3\sigma^2\delta(k-2) = 5\delta(k) + 1.5\delta(k-2) \\ r_{yx}(n, n-k) &= E\{(v_2[n+1] + 3v_2[n-1])(v_1[n-k] + 3v_2[n-1-k])^*\} \\ &= 9\sigma^2\delta(k) + 3\sigma^2\delta(k+2) \\ &= 5\delta(k) + 1.5\delta(k+2) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $x$  and  $y$  are jointly WSS.

8. A random process  $x(n)$  has correlation matrix

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (a) Determine the KLT basis functions.  
 (b) Determine the minimum mean square error achievable when using a single term approximation, e.g.,

$$\hat{x}(n) = c_1(n)\mathbf{q}_1$$

- (c) Determine the error when 2, 3 and 4 terms are used.

*Solution:*

- (a) Eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{R}$ :

$$\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 3, \lambda_4 = 5.$$

The corresponding eigenvectors:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{q}_1 &= [0, 0, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}]^T \\ \mathbf{q}_2 &= [-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, 0]^T \\ \mathbf{q}_3 &= [0, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}]^T \\ \mathbf{q}_4 &= [\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, 0]^T\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{q}_4$  are the KLT basis functions.

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{x}(n) &= c_1(n)\mathbf{q}_1 \\ \varepsilon(n) &= x(n) - \hat{x}(n)\end{aligned}$$

When single item is used, the MSE is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon_{MSE} &= E[\varepsilon(n)^H \varepsilon(n)] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^4 \lambda_i - \lambda_{j=1,2,3,4}\end{aligned}$$

Choose the biggest eigenvalue, the minimum available MSE is 7.

(c) When 2 items are used, the minimum available MSE is 4. When 3 items are used, the minimum available MSE is 1. When 4 items are used, the minimum available MSE is 0.

9. Let

$$v[n] = a_1^*x[n] + a_2^*y[n]$$

where  $x$  and  $y$  are WSS processes. Show that the coherence satisfies

$$|\Gamma(\omega)|^2 = \frac{|S_{xy}(\omega)|^2}{S_x(\omega)S_y(\omega)} \geq 1$$

where  $S_x(\omega), S_y(\omega), S_{xy}(\omega)$  are PSD and cross-PSD functions. HINT: Write the output PSD function using a matrix expression,  $S_v(\omega) = \mathbf{a}^H \mathbf{S} \mathbf{a}$ , and use known properties.

*Solution:* By definition and substitution

$$\begin{aligned}r_v(k) &= E\{v(n)v^*(n-k)\} \\ &= E\{(a_1^*x(n) + a_2^*y(n))(a_1x^*(n-k) + a_2y^*(n-k))\} \\ &= a_1a_1^*r_x(k) + a_1^*a_2r_{x,y}(k) + a_1a_2^*r_{y,x}^*(-k) + a_2a_2^*r_y(k) \\ &= a_1a_1^*r_x(k) + a_1^*a_2r_{x,y}(k) + a_1a_2^*r_{y,x}(k) + a_2a_2^*r_y(k)\end{aligned}$$

This can be written in matrix form

$$r_v(k) = [a_1^*, a_2^*] \begin{bmatrix} r_x(k) & r_{x,y}(k) \\ r_{y,x}(k) & r_y(k) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{a}^H \mathbf{R} \mathbf{a} \quad (*)$$

for

$$\mathbf{a}^H = [a_1^*, a_2^*] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} r_x(k) & r_{x,y}(k) \\ r_{y,x}(k) & r_y(k) \end{bmatrix}$$

Taking the Fourier transform of (\*) gives,

$$S_v(\omega) = [a_1^*, a_2^*] \begin{bmatrix} S_x(\omega) & S_{x,y}(\omega) \\ S_{y,x}(\omega) & S_y(\omega) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{a}^H \mathbf{S} \mathbf{a}$$

Note that  $S_v(\omega) \geq 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}^H \mathbf{S} \mathbf{a} \geq 0$ . And since  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are arbitrary, this holds for all  $\mathbf{a}$ , which is true if and only if  $|\mathbf{S}| \geq 0$ . Thus

$$|\mathbf{S}| \geq 0 \Rightarrow S_x(\omega)S_y(\omega) - S_{x,y}(\omega)S_{y,x}(\omega) = S_x(\omega)S_y(\omega) - |S_{x,y}(\omega)|^2 \geq 0 \Rightarrow |\Gamma(\omega)| \leq 1$$

10. Let  $\{x[n]\}$  be a process of i.i.d. RVs uniform on  $[-1, 1]$ . The process is passed through a LTI system with impulse response  $h[n] = (\frac{1}{2})^n U[n]$ , yielding output  $y[n]$ .

- (a) Determine  $R_{yx}[l]$ .
- (b) Determine  $R_y[l]$ .
- (c) Determine  $S_y(\omega)$

*Solution:*

(a) Note  $R_{xx}[l] = \frac{1}{3}\delta[l] \Rightarrow R_{yx}[l] = R_{xx}[l] * h[n] = \frac{1}{3} (\frac{1}{2})^n U[n]$ .

(b)  $H(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1}} \Rightarrow |H(\omega)|^2 = \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}e^{-j\omega}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}e^{j\omega}} \right) = \frac{1}{1.25 - \cos\omega} \Rightarrow S_y(\omega) = \frac{\frac{1}{3}}{1.25 - \cos\omega}$   
Inverse transform gives  $R_y[l]$ .

11. (a) Determine the mean of the exponential density function  $f_x(x) = \alpha e^{-\alpha x} U(x)$ , and expressed the density in terms of the mean parameter  $\mu = E\{x\}$ .
- (b) Given independence samples  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$ , determine the ML estimate of  $\mu$ .
  - (c) Is the estimate unbiased?
  - (d) Is it consistent?
  - (e) What is the variance of the estimate? Is it a minimum variance estimate?

*Solution:*

- (a)

$$\mu = E[x] = \int_0^{\infty} \alpha e^{-\alpha x} x dx = \frac{1}{\alpha}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} f_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) &= \prod_{i=1}^N f_{x_i}(x_i) \\ &= \alpha^N e^{-\alpha \sum_{i=1}^N x_i} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{df_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)}{d\alpha} = N\alpha^{N-1} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha x_i} + \alpha^N \left( -\sum_{i=1}^N x_i \right) e^{-\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha x_i} = 0$$

↓

$$\alpha = \frac{N}{\sum_{i=1}^N x_i}$$

So, the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\mu$  is

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N x_i}{N}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} E[\hat{\mu}] &= E\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N x_i}{N}\right] \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N E[x_i]}{N} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \mu}{N} \\ &= \mu \end{aligned}$$

So, the estimate is unbiased.

(d)

$$\begin{aligned} E[\hat{\mu}_N^2] &= E\left[\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i\right) \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j\right)\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{N^2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N E[x_i^2] + \sum_{i \neq j} E[x_i]E[x_j] \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{N^2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{2}{\alpha^2} + N(N-1) \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{N} \right) \end{aligned}$$

The variance of the estimate is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}(\hat{\mu}_N) &= E[\hat{\mu}^2] - E[\hat{\mu}]^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{N}\right) - \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{N\alpha^2} \\ &= \frac{\mu^2}{N} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{var}(\hat{\mu}_N) < \text{var}(\hat{\mu}_{N-1})$$

Also, from (3),  $\hat{\mu}_N$  is unbiased.

So,  $\hat{\mu}_N$  is a consistent estimate.

(e)

$$f_{\mathbf{x}|\mu}(\mathbf{x}|\mu) = \frac{1}{\mu^N} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N x_i}{\mu}}$$

$$\ln[f_{\mathbf{x}|\mu}(\mathbf{x}|\mu)] = -N \ln \mu - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N x_i}{\mu}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mu^2} \ln[f_{\mathbf{x}|\mu}(\mathbf{x}|\mu)] = \frac{N}{\mu^2} - \frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^N x_i}{\mu^3}$$

$$E \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mu^2} \ln[f_{\mathbf{x}|\mu}(\mathbf{x}|\mu)] \right] = -\frac{N}{\mu^2}$$

$$\left( -E \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mu^2} \ln[f_{\mathbf{x}|\mu}(\mathbf{x}|\mu)] \right] \right)^{-1} = \frac{\mu^2}{N} = \text{var}(\hat{\mu}_N)$$

That is, the estimate  $\hat{\mu}_N = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N x_i}{N}$  hits the Cramer-Rao Bound.

So, the unbiased estimate  $\hat{\mu}_N$  is a minimum variance estimate.

12. The joint density function of random variables  $x$  and  $y$  is given by

$$f_{xy}(x, y) \begin{cases} 6x & 0 \leq x \leq 1; 0 \leq y \leq 1 - x \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Determine and sketch the conditional density function  $f_{y|x}(y|x)$ .
- Determine the MAP estimate of  $y$ .
- Determine the MS estimate of  $y$ .
- Determine the MAE estimate of  $y$ .

13. A two-dimensional vector  $\mathbf{x}$  and a random variable  $y$  have the joint density

$$f_{\mathbf{x}y}(\mathbf{x}, y) \begin{cases} (y + 3x_1)x_2 & 0 \leq x_1, x_2, y \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Assume that  $\mathbf{x}$  represents the observation.

- Determine the MAP estimate of  $y$ .
- Determine the MS estimate of  $y$ .
- Determine the MAE estimate of  $y$ .

*Solution:*

- $$f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}) = \int f_{\mathbf{x},y}(\mathbf{x}, y) dy = \left(\frac{1}{2} + 3x_1\right) x_2, \quad 0 \leq x_1, x_2 \leq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow f_{y|\mathbf{x}}(y|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{f_{\mathbf{x},y}(\mathbf{x}, y)}{f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{y + 3x_1}{\frac{1}{2} + 3x_1}, \quad 0 \leq x_1, y \leq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{y}_{\text{MAP}} = \arg \max_y f_{y|\mathbf{x}}(y|\mathbf{x}) = 1$$

- $$\hat{y}_{\text{MS}} = E\{y|\mathbf{x}\} = \int_0^1 y \frac{y + 3x_1}{\frac{1}{2} + 3x_1} dy = \frac{2 + 9x_1}{3 + 18x_1}$$

- $$F_{y|\mathbf{x}}(y|\mathbf{x}) = \int_0^{\hat{y}_{\text{MAE}}} \frac{y + 3x_1}{\frac{1}{2} + 3x_1} dy = \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow \frac{\hat{y}_{\text{MAE}}^2 + \hat{y}_{\text{MAE}} 6x_1}{1 + 6x_1} = \frac{1}{2}$$

Therefore,  $\hat{y}_{\text{MAE}} = \frac{-6x_1 \pm \sqrt{36x_1^2 + 12x_1 + 2}}{2}$ .

14. A random process  $x[n]$  is generated according to the difference equation

$$x[n] = \rho x[n-1] + \eta[n]$$

where  $\rho$  is a constant and is a binary whitenoise sequence taking on values  $-1$  and  $+1$  with equal probabilities.

- Generate and plot  $M = 50$  samples of the random sequence for  $\rho = 0.95, 0.70$ , and  $-0.95$ . What differences do you observe in these three random sequences?
- Repeat the above with  $\eta[n]$  a white noise Gaussian sequence with unit variance.
- Let  $\hat{R}_x[l]$  be the sample autocorrelation. Define the estimated correlation coefficient  $\hat{\rho}$  as

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{\hat{R}_x[1]}{\hat{R}_x[0]}$$

Compute  $\hat{\rho}$  for each of the Gaussian noise driven sequences and for several sequence lengths. How well does the estimated value compare with the theoretical value?

- Plot the estimated  $\hat{R}_x[l]$  and true  $R_x[l]$  autocorrelation functions for  $0 \leq l \leq 1$  for each of the Gaussian noise driven sequences.
- What happens if  $|\rho| > 1$ ?